

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Purpose	1
) Cana	2
3. Scope	
1. Congestion Management System	ک م
a. Foundation for Analysis of System Performance	
b. Expansion of Existing CMS	
c. Description of CMS Corridor Concept and Network	
2. Other Transportation System Performance	
3. Description of Data Elements	
4. Transportation Data Collection and Distribution Process	
CHAPTER II. SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE	11
A. CMS Corridors	11
1. Corridor Congestion Ratio	11
2. Speed as Percent of Speed Limit	11
3. Auto Vehicle Occupancy	11
4. Truck Percentage	16
5. Transit Seat Capacity Used	16
B. Other Transportation Measures	16
1. Vehicle Volumes	
Highest Volume Intersections	
Columbia River Bridge Vehicle Volumes	
4. Transit Seats as Percentage of Lance Capacity	
5. Transit System Ridership	
Park and Ride Capacity	
CHAPTER III. INDIVIDUAL CMS CORRIDOR DATA	30
6. Park and Ride Capacity	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – CMS Map	
Figure 2 – Transportation Data Flow	10
Figure 3 – AM Congestion Ratio	12
Figure 4 – PM Congestion Ratio	13
Figure 5 – AM Speed as Percent of Speed Limit	14
Figure 6 – PM Speed as Percent of Speed Limit	15
Figure 7 – AM Automobile Occupancy	17
Figure 8 – PM Automobile Occupancy	18
Figure 9 – Midday Automobile Occupancy	19
Figure 10 – PM Truck Percentage	20
Figure 11 – AM Transit Seat Capacity Used	21
Figure 12 – PM Transit Seat Capacity Used	22
Figure 13 – AM Vehicle Volumes	23
Figure 14 – PM Vehicle Volumes	24
Figure 15 – Columbia River Crossings	25
Figure 16 - AM Transit Seats: Percent of Lane Capacity	27
Figure 17 - PM Transit Seats: Percent of Lane Capacity	28
Figure 18 - Ridership by Type of Service	26

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Corridors in the CMS Network	7
Table 2 – Highest Volume Intersections	25
Table 3 – Average Weekday Traffic Across the Columbia River	25
Table 4 – 2000 Ridership by Type of Service	26
Table 5 – Historical Population and Patronage Growth	29
Table 6 – Clark County Park and Ride Capacity	29

CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose

The of the Congestion purpose Management System (CMS) is to improve how transportation system performance is This measured and analyzed. through accomplished comprehensive data collection, development of a system monitoring program, the identification of performance measures, development of system performance methodologies, and preparation of Transportation а System Monitorina Congestion and Management Report.

Traffic congestion negatively impacts the region's natural environment, economy, and quality of life. RTC's first CMS report was initiated as a result of the 1991 Intermodal Surface **Transportation** Efficiency Act which required regions like the Vancouver/Clark County urban area to develop congestion management systems. The federal interest in management systems was to have the regional planning process develop better analysis tools through the collection and monitoring of performance data. This Act required that any federally funded facility being considered for capacity expansion must be analyzed through the CMS process. The 1998 Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century amended this requirement. This 1998 Act recognized the value of the CMS by directing regions like ours to continue the data collection and monitoring elements and have a process in place to assess transportation system performance and to evaluate alternative strategies for addressing traffic congestion problems.

The value of the CMS process is to improve the decision-making process by identifying the most congested parts of the transportation system now and in the

future. To date, most of the monitoring of congestion in our region has focused on traffic count data. The traffic count data is used to determine the corridor congestion ratio of the congestion for each management corridors. The congestion ratio, similar to volume to capacity ratio, is then converted into a congestion index. The congestion index is like a level-ofservice measure except that the index assesses the overall performance of a full corridor instead of the operation of specific intersections. The index is used as a means to classify each corridor relative level according to its congestion, to identify the need for further evaluation. determine and to how alternative strategies are considered.

The congestion management network consists of 29 regional transportation corridors that are monitored as part of the CMS for the Clark County region.

The performance of the congestion management corridors has previously focused only on the corridor congestion index as a measure of transportation system performance. At the direction of the RTC Board, the 1999 Transportation System Monitoring and Congestion Management Report added several new data elements including travel time. vehicle occupancy, speed, truck percentages, and transit ridership and capacity. In addition, the report included other transportation related information compiled and collected by RTC that is not part of the congestion management corridor summaries, but provides other measures of system performance.

This report continues to utilize the results of updated traffic count data, other data collection and the compilation of information from other data sources to

provide an overall look at the performance of the regional transportation system.

The development of the 2000 congestion management report includes several components. The intent of the report is to continue existing traffic monitoring and providing transportation system information to performance decisionmakers that must identify the most costeffective strategies for addressing transportation congestion and improving mobility. The key goals of the congestion management monitoring project in 2000 consisted of transportation data collection. analysis of transportation system performance, and the preparation of a Transportation System Monitoring and Congestion Management Report. The primary activities are summarized below:

Data Assessment/Data Collection: RTC reviewed the status of current data collection and identified additional data needed to enhance the monitoring of the congestion management corridors. Some of the needed data elements are currently collected by other transportation agencies within the Clark County region, such as traffic counts and transit ridership. RTC was responsible for setting up a process for collecting this data on a regular basis. RTC has also initiated and managed the collection of additional traffic counts, vehicle occupancy information, travel time data, and transit capacity and ridership information. This included working with local transportation agencies for the collection, compilation, and receipt of data for monitoring the regional transportation system.

<u>Data Analysis/System Performance</u>: The comprehensive transportation data was analyzed and validated for use in monitoring system performance. The collected data is being applied to develop system performance measures for the transportation corridors in the congestion management network. This system performance information is used to

identify system needs and solutions and will be incorporated in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan. The transportation data is also being used to enhance the regional traffic count program, initiate the establishment of a comprehensive transportation data program, and improve the regional travel forecasting model.

Data Distribution/Reporting: The collected system data is distributed to local member agencies and the general public for congestion analysis and other transportation efforts. Regional traffic count data has already been made available through map object application and on the Internet (www.rtc.wa.gov/tc/explain.htm).

The traffic count data is currently used by local agencies for concurrency analysis and for other transportation-related analysis. This activity includes the production and distribution of this report. The detailed database established for this project is included in this report and is available online through RTC's web page.

B. SCOPE

The scope of the CMS originally began with an emphasis on traffic volumes and transportation facility capacity to monitor transportation system congestion through the development of a corridor congestion The corridor congestion index provides an aggregate picture on the capacity of the regional transportation system. It utilizes segment by segment traffic volume and capacity data for the congestion management corridors to develop a single congestion value. It was important to incorporate a wider range of data into the CMS process as monitoring regional transportation system became more important.

1. CONGESTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

a. Foundation for Analysis of System Performance

The CMS serves as the foundation for monitoring the regional transportation and for providing ongoing system information. The monitoring element of the congestion management network is designed as an informational tool to be used within the decision-making process. to provide also intended understanding of the transportation system's operating conditions deficiencies and to assess the impacts of alternative improvement strategies. In this way, it will help to focus efforts while allowing flexibility in the project selection process. The keys to the approach used in developing the framework for the CMS were:

- focus upon congestion
- be practical and easy to apply
- emphasize regional travel characteristics

The initial approach to use roadway congestion as the foundation of the Vancouver/Clark County **CMS** selected, in part, to maintain simplicity and because of the lack of a satisfactory multimodal measure. RTC has continued the annual data collection to enhance and update the existing traffic count data Traffic counts were collected primarily for the congestion management RTC corridors. In addition, has coordinated with Washington State Department of Transportation and local jurisdictions to compile traffic count data, including turn movements, throughout Clark County. This traffic count program builds the base for the CMS.

The second characteristic of the initial Vancouver/Clark County CMS is that it is practical and easy to apply. While a more complex system is ultimately more appropriate, a simpler system was

implemented at first. As experience with the CMS is gained, more complexity and detail is being added. The 1999 CMS was the beginning of that process. The third point is that the CMS has been designed from a regional perspective. Certain elements of the CMS, such as the were designed from network. perspective to provide a regional picture of the transportation system rather than focusing on local arterials. The potential exists to expand the CMS in the future to include more detail as is deemed necessary. Also, the CMS is a passive system that provides the information needed to support the decision-making process. Again, this approach was taken to ensure a more manageable system that, in the future, may be adapted to be more active.

The **CMS** has been evolving to incorporate time-based and other multimodal measures improve to knowledge regarding the operation of the transportation system and the characteristics of regional travel. Within the CMS, the new multimodal data elements described in part (b) of this section will allow better tools for the analysis and management of congestion.

b. Expansion of Existing CMS

Until the 1999 report, the CMS data monitoring, as mentioned previously, has focused only on congestion. In order to provide a more comprehensive analysis of the operation of the transportation system, the CMS was expanded to include additional data elements. The additional data provides better support for travel demand analysis and includes travel time, auto occupancy, and transit ridership and capacity. The expansion of the CMS data facilitates a more complete picture of the characteristics of the transportation better analysis system and consideration of travel demand strategies.

Except for the traffic count program, there has been a lack of easily accessible transportation data available in the region for use in the CMS. In addition to coordination with other transportation agencies for the receipt of traffic count data, there were two key activities conducted since 1999 by Clark County transportation agencies that supported the expansion of the CMS monitoring element.

The City of Vancouver and Clark County initiated an extensive travel time data collection effort to support the concurrency This effort program. provided the groundwork for travel time and speed information incorporated in the CMS monitoring process. RTC reviewed the concurrency travel time data, which of the included most congestion management corridors and contracted to collect additional travel time information for the congestion management corridors that were not part of the City's or County's effort. RTC appended the local concurrency travel time data to match the congestion management corridors.

In 2000, RTC coordinated with C-TRAN for the collection of peak period passenger counts for every transit route along congestion management corridors. RTC utilized this detailed ridership information, along with route schedules and bus vehicle capacities to develop transit summary data for each of the congestion management corridors.

RTC also initiated a new effort for the collection of vehicle occupancy information at key locations on various regional transportation facilities within the Vancouver urbanized area. representative vehicle occupancy rate by facility type and geographic area was developed based on analysis of the fifteen locations in the region for which data was collected. This information is critical in

tracking and evaluating alternative and other multimodal strategies.

Description of CMS Corridor Concept and Network

1) CMS Corridor Concept

An important step in defining the congestion management network was to define the basic unit for describing the network and performing analysis. For the Vancouver/Clark County congestion management network, transportation corridors were selected as that unit. Where appropriate, individual corridors are made up for more than one transportation facility. The multi-facility corridors occur where there is more than one route within a corridor serving the same function and to support the concept that transit or transportation demand management services and improvements are likely to impact a corridor rather than a single facility. A corridor approach that incorporates parallel routes and transit services provides a regional orientation and responds to the multimodal and alternative travel themes of the Federal Transportation Act. In fact, when the corridor congestion index was the primary measure of corridor performance, parallel facilities within a given corridor were aggregated into a single value for reporting purposes. The evaluation of the congestion management corridors for this report has resulted in the reporting of the transportation data for each individual facility that comprises a corridor. This is due, in part, to the fact that the new performance measures provide a better understanding on transportation system performance when reported for individual facilities. In those cases where there is more than one facility in a corridor, the aggregate data will be reported for each of the facilities as the basic unit, rather than for individual links or intersections.

Although data is reported for individual facilities for the multiple facility corridors,

they are still grouped by the congestion management corridor they are associated with and by a set of specific endpoints. These constituent facilities are defined as major regional facilities (i.e., those principal arterials and freeways) that run in parallel and may be used as alternative routes. It should be noted that a corridor might consist of only one facility if there are no alternative facilities in close proximity. The endpoints for each corridor represent locations where the characteristics of the corridor change significantly. Examples of corridor endpoints can include:

- at the endpoints of a facility (e.g., where a major roadway ends)
- at a change in land use patterns
- at a point where the direction of peak flow changes
- at a location where transit service levels change significantly

Each facility within a corridor is further divided into a series of segments. A segment is the portion of roadway between major intersections or interchanges. To allow for consistent operational analysis, corridor segments were developed such that the capacity and number of lanes remain the same within each segment. Separate portions of a facility may be included in different corridors.

2) CMS Monitoring Network

The boundaries of the Vancouver/Clark County CMS were set as the Vancouver metropolitan area. The exceptions to this definition are the two major inter-regional corridors, I-5 and State Route (SR) 14, extend Vancouver that past the metropolitan area to the Clark County lines. These corridors were extended because of their intra-state significance and to ensure maximum coordination with the remainder of the State system. addition, boundaries were extended to

include significant urbanized areas. This resulted in adding several transportation facilities to the congestion management network that connected the significant urban areas to the base congestion management network. This included the addition of congestion management corridors to connect Battle Ground, Ridgefield, and La Center with the base network.

Within these boundaries, the first step in defining the network was to identify a set of candidate facilities and corridors. Only regionally significant corridors considered as candidates for the network. A first cut of the network was defined by those corridors that included one or more regionally significant facility. regionally significant facilities were those included in the Regional Transportation are identified System and Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP).

The initial CMS monitoring network was refined from the list of candidate corridors. federal guidelines Usina to include facilities with "existing or potential congestion," professional recurring judgment was used to identify those corridors that are currently or are likely to become congested. These corridors (and their component facilities) form a subset of the Vancouver/Clark County Regional Transportation System. As conditions or facilities change, corridors have been modified or refined.

The original CMS network was made up of twenty-one transportation corridors. The 2000 CMS is comprised of twentynine corridors. The primary reasons have been to provide more logical breakpoints, to connect to other significant urban recognize potential or future areas. initial connections. The CMS, example, identified SR-14 as a single corridor from I-5 to 164th Avenue. review of the data and traffic operations in the corridor and changes in the growth of travel on SR-14 resulted in dividing it into two corridors: I-5 to I-205 and I-205 to 164th Avenue. The existing CMS network is listed in Table 1 and illustrated in Figure 1.

2. OTHER TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

In addition to the congestion management corridor information, this report also transportation-related includes other information. The additional transportation system performance measures have been separated from the congestion management corridor data because: the data does not relate to the congestion management corridors or the raw CMS monitored data was applied in conjunction with other data to develop The other transportation information. system elements include peak period vehicle volumes, truck percentages, high volume intersections within Clark County, Columbia River vehicle crossings, transit system passenger ridership, and park and ride capacity. A description of all the data elements including the CMS data is contained in the following section.

3. DESCRIPTION OF DATA ELEMENTS

This section is intended to serve as a glossary of data items and terms and an explanation of the information contained in the summary of transportation system performance in Chapter II and the detailed corridor data in Chapter III.

Corridor Congestion Ratio - The CCR is an aggregation of the volume/capacity (V/C) ratios for the individual segments that make up a facility within a corridor. The CCR is calculated for both the AM and PM peak hours, and for both directions of travel within a corridor. For each segment in a corridor, the V/C ratio, vehicle miles traveled (VMT), and VMT-weighted V/C ratio (the product of the V/C ratio and VMT) for the peak hour are

calculated. The CCR is the sum of the weighted link ratios.

Vehicle Volumes - AM and PM peak hour vehicle volumes were compiled from the 2000 traffic count database and used to derive vehicle volumes for the congestion management corridors. Volumes represent traffic counts for the congestion management corridors and provide a good comparison of the relative differences in travel demand among the congestion management corridors.


Travel Time - In 2000, the City of Vancouver and Clark County collected travel time for concurrency purposes many congestion management corridors. RTC has collected additional travel time data in congestion management corridors that were not part of the 2000 concurrency data collection effort. The process of collecting the additional travel time data matched the local methodology and were used to develop region-wide travel time data for the System Performance Report.

Speed as Percent of Posted Speed - Travel speed was computed from the travel time data calculated in the previous section. It consists of utilizing the travel time and distance information to calculate travel speed. Travel speed was then converted to a percent of posted speed for each of the congestion management corridors. This was intended to provide another measure of the delay along the corridor.

Table 1 - Corridors in the CMS Network

Corridor Name	Facilities	Endpoints				
I-5 – North	I-5	County Line	I-205 Interchange			
I-5 – Central	I-5, Hwy 99, Hazel Dell	I-205 Interchange	Main St.			
I-5 - South	I-5, Main Street	Main St. Interchange	State line			
I-205 – Central	I-205	I-5 interchange	Fourth Pl./SR 500			
I-205 – South	I-205, 112th/Chkalov Dr./Gher Road	Fourth PI./SR 500	State line			
Grand/St. Johns Blvd.	Vancouver Way, Grand Blvd.	NE 72nd Ave.	Fourth Plain Blvd.			
Andresen Road - North	Andresen Rd. / N.E. 72nd Avenue.	119th St	SR 500			
Andresen Road - South	Andresen Rd.	SR 500	Mill Plain Blvd.			
SR 503 South	SR 503	119th St.	Fourth Pl./SR 500			
SR-503 North	SR 503	SR 502	119th St.			
Ward Road	Ward Road	119th St.	SR 500			
162nd Ave. North	162nd/164th Ave.	Ward Rd.	Mill Plain Blvd.			
164th Ave. South	162nd/164th Ave.	Mill Plain Blvd.	SR-14			
SR 14 West	SR 14	I-5	I-205			
SR 14 Central	SR 14	I-205	164th Ave.			
SR 14 East	SR 14	164th Ave.	County Line			
Mill Plain West	Mill Plain	I-5	I-205			
Mill Plain East	Mill Plain	I-205	164th Ave.			
Fourth Plain West	Fourth Plain	I-5	Andresen Rd.			
SR 500 – West	SR 500	I-5	Andresen Rd.			
SR 500/Fourth Plain Central	SR 500, Fourth Plain	Andresen Rd.	SR 503			
SR 500 – East	SR 500	SR 503	162nd Ave.			
78th/76th/Padden Parkway	78th St./76th St., Padden Parkway	I-5	SR 503			
Fourth Plain/SR-501	SR-501/Mill Plain, Fourth Plain	I-5	TMA Boundary (Port of Vancouver)			
28th St/18th Street	28th Street, 18th Street	Andresen Rd.	164th Avenue			
134th Street	134th St./139th St./Salmon Creek Ave.	NW 36th Ave.	WSU Entrance			
SR-502	SR 502	I-5	SR 503			
SR 501	SR 501	I-5	9th St. (Ridgefield)			
La Center Rd.	La Center Rd.	I-5	E. Fork Lewis Rv.			

Figure 1 - CMS Map



Vehicle Occupancy - Average vehicle occupancy was collected for the first time in 1999. Average vehicle occupancy is calculated by counting passenger cars at a given location and the number of people in each vehicle. The number of people divided by the number of cars is the Average vehicle occupancy for that Average vehicle occupancy location. information has been collected at twenty locations (15 in 1999 and 5 in 2000) throughout the Clark County area. Data was collected for freeways and arterials during the AM, PM, and midday time periods.

Transit Capacity Used - The percent of transit seat capacity that is occupied by passengers is calculated by identifying the peak ridership location for the segment within a corridor and calculating the bus capacity at the same location based on transit vehicle type and frequency. Transit capacity used includes all transit riders divided by the transit capacity at the peak location.

individual In 2000. line ridership information was collected by C-TRAN in peak periods for every transit line along congestion management corridor. has compiled this data. In addition, "seat capacity" by line has been compiled C-TRAN's 2000 based on service schedule. This process has allowed the estimation of transit patronage and capacity for the congestion management corridors and individual transit lines.

<u>Truck Percentage</u> – Collected Traffic counts include several locations that classified vehicles according to the number of axles. This is a measure of trucks as a percentage of all vehicles travelling on the roadway. Trucks are defined as vehicles with more than two axles, such as typical tractor/trailer rigs, travelling on the roadway during the peak period.

<u>Highest Volume Intersections</u> - This measure identifies the ten busiest intersections in Clark County based on the 2000 average weekday traffic volumes entering the intersection.

<u>Columbia River Crossings</u> - Average weekday traffic volumes in both directions crossing the Interstate and Glenn Jackson Bridges between Washington and Oregon.

<u>Transit Seats as Percentage of Vehicle</u> <u>Lane Capacity</u> - A comparison of the seat capacity of transit in the corridor as a percentage of vehicle capacity per lane in the corridor.


<u>Transit System Ridership</u> - Average weekday ridership by type of service (commuter, urban, and rural) and total weekday transit riders.

<u>Park and Ride Capacity</u> - Identifies vehicle capacity of park and ride lots in Clark County and for the individual facilities. Also includes a discussion of demand at the facilities.

4. TRANSPORTATION DATA COLLECTION AND DISTRIBUTION PROCESS

Figure 2 outlines the data collection and distribution process. Clark County agencies and jurisdictions including RTC collect a wide range of transportation Transportation information is data. reviewed and prepared by RTC for incorporation into the transportation system database. The database is used to develop the regional traffic count program and the CMS corridor summaries. The congestion management corridor data, in conjunction with other transportation system information, make up the information in this report.

Figure 2 - Transportation Data Flow



CHAPTER II. SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE

This section contains a discussion and display of information described in the previous chapter. Part A consists of the data compiled and collected for the Congestion Management System (CMS) and comprised of data that is configured to match the congestion management corridor delineation. Part B consists of other transportation information and data elements that do not necessarily match the congestion management corridors, although in some cases makes use of the data developed in Part A.

A. CONGESTION MANAGEMENT CORRIDORS

1. CORRIDOR CONGESTION RATIO

Figures 3 and 4 display the congestion index for each corridor for both AM and PM periods. The PM period displays higher congestion on most corridors than that experienced in the AM period. The exceptions include the south legs of I-5 and I-205, SR-14, Ward Road, and north leg of 164th Avenue. Most of the AM period congestion can be attributed to the bottlenecks at the two Interstate Bridges. However, the congestion on Ward Road and 164th Avenue may be attributed to morning trip generates like schools. Both the AM and PM periods show congestion along major facilities such as I-5. I-205. SR-14, SR-500/Fourth Plain, Burton/18th Street, 164th Avenue, and Ward Road. In the PM period, additional congestion is shown along Mill Plain-East, SR-503-South. and SR-502. Planned improvements along Ward Road and Burton Road are likely to reduce the congestion index in these corridors.

2. Speed as Percent of Speed Limit

ln general, facilities with at-grade intersections. display lower speed percentages. The speed percentages for the freeway facilities are close to 100% of the posted speed limit. During the AM time period (Figure 5), I-5 North, I-205, and SR-14 operate at 100% or more of the posted speed. I-5 south of 134th Street operated below 80% of the posted, due to highway construction and the Interstate Bridge. The SR-500 corridor operates at less than 70% of the posted speed during the AM period. Unlike the other freeway facilities, SR-500 has several at grade intersections, which affects the average travel speed in the corridor. In the AM period, the lowest percentages are displayed on I-5 south of Main Street, Mill Plain east of I-205, and the eastern portion of SR-500. In the PM peak, arterials display lower percentages, while the speed percentage trends for the freeway facilities are similar to the AM (Figure 6). On I-5 south, however, the speed percentage is higher in the PM than in the AM. This is due to the opening of capacity on this segment after crossing the Interstate Bridge. The eastern portion of Mill Plain and southern portion of 164th Avenue display the lowest percentages of speed in the PM period.

3. AUTO VEHICLE OCCUPANCY

The AM time period displays the lowest average vehicle occupancy for all facility types, with the AM average vehicle occupancy at 1.15 persons per vehicle or lower. The Fourth Plain corridor between I-5 and Vancouver Lake has the lowest




Figure 3 - AM Congestion Ratio




Figure 4 - PM Congestion Ratio




Figure 5 - AM Speed as Percent of Speed Limit

Speed as Percent of Speed Limit 2000 PM Peak Two Hours Speed vs. Speed Limit, AM Less than 50% 50% to 65% 65% to 80% 80% to 95% 95% or Better LaCenter Battle Ground Hazel Dell Orchard Transportation System Monitoring and Congestion Management Report Produced by the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council, March 2000

Figure 6 - PM Speed as Percent of Speed Limit

AM vehicle occupancy of 1.03. The highest vehicle occupancy is in the Mill Plain Corridor. In the PM peak, SR-14, I-205 and I-5 south of Main Street have the lowest average vehicle occupancy rates (1.12 to 1.18). The two east/west arterials, Fourth Plain and Mill Plain have the highest average vehicle occupancy rates possibly due to a higher percentage of non-commute trips. Overall, the midday vehicle occupancy rates are near 1.23, with a lower variation between corridors. It may be that the AM peak period is more of a traditional commute The PM and the midday time periods likely have a greater percentage of discretionary trips such as shopping drive alone trips are where prominent. Figures 7, 8, and 9 display the average vehicle occupancy information.

4. TRUCK PERCENTAGE

Overall, the freeway facilities and SR-501 accessing the Port of Vancouver display the highest percentage of truck volumes during the PM peak period (Figure 10). The exception to this is on SR-500, which has truck percentages similar to major arterials, such as Andresen Road and SR-14, I-5 and I-205 164th Avenue. corridors have truck percentages of 5% or Fourth Plain Boulevard/SR-501 from I-5 to the Port of Vancouver has the highest percentage at 17.9% of PM peak vehicle volumes. (Count was taken prior to the completion of the Mill Plain Extension). I-5 North and I-205 Central also have significant truck percentages (13.8% and 10.3%).

5. TRANSIT SEAT CAPACITY USED

Transit seat capacity is based on existing (2000) bus service and represents the percentage of seats that are occupied during the two hour peak period. During the AM (Figure 11), I-5 south of 134th St., I-205 south, and SR-14 west of 164th utilize more than 70% of the available

seats. In corridors without express service, 162nd Avenue North of Mill Plain and NE 28th Street corridors utilizes more than 50% of the available seats in the AM. PM trends (Figure 12) are similar to the AM except that PM percentages are generally higher. In addition, the Fourth Plain corridor west of Andresen uses more than 60% of the available seats in the PM, which is double the AM use.

B. OTHER TRANSPORTATION MEASURES

1. VEHICLE VOLUMES

traffic volumes hour for the congestion management corridors are delineated by four volume range categories. These categories intended to provide a regional picture of travel flows for the Clark County management congestion corridors. During the AM peak (Figure 13), I-5, I-205, SR-14 and SR-500 display volumes greater than 2,900 vehicles per hour. Within the urban area, facilities carrying more than 1,500 vehicles per hour are primarily state facilities including other segments of SR-14 and SR-500 and SR-503. The only other facilities carrying more than 1,500 vehicles per hour are Mill Plain east of I-205 and 164th Avenue south of Mill Plain Blvd. PM peak hour (Figure 14) trends for traffic volumes for most of the congestion management corridors are similar. There are some notable exceptions; many congestion management corridors carry significantly higher volumes during the PM peak. The corridors with the highest volume difference 400 additional (at least vehicles) between the PM and AM peak include: Andresen Road south, Mill Plain Boulevard east, Highway 99, 78th/76th Street, and the Fourth Plain Boulevard west and central corridors.

Average Automobile Occupancy Rate 2000 AM Peak Two Hours Average Automobile Occupancy, AM
>= 1.25 1.20 - 1.24 1.15 - 1.19 LaCenter Battle Vancouve Transportation System Monitoring and Congestion Management Report Produced by the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council

Figure 7 - AM Auto Occupancy

Average Automobile Occupancy Rate 2000 PM Peak Two Hours Average Automobile Occupancy, PM >= 1.25 1.20 - 1.24 1.15 - 1.19 < 1.15 LaCenter Battle Ground Hazel Dell Transportation System Monitoring and Congestion Management Report Produced by the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council

Figure 8 - PM Auto Occupancy

Average Vehicle Occupancy Rate 2000 Midday Peak Two Hours Average Vehicle Occupancy, Midday 1.20 - 1.24 1.15 - 1.19 LaCenter Ground Transportation System Monitoring and Congestion Management Report Produced by the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council, March 2000

Figure 9 - Midday Auto Occupancy




Figure 10 - PM Truck Percentage




Figure 11 - AM Transit Seat Capacity Used




Figure 12- PM Transit Seat Capacity Used




Figure 13 - AM Vehicle Volumes




Figure 14 - PM Vehicle Volumes

2. HIGHEST VOLUME INTERSECTIONS

Table 2 displays the sixteen highest volume intersections in 2000. It is based on the total number of vehicles entering an intersection on an average weekday. At-grade intersections along SR-500, Mill Plain, and SR-503 dominate the list. The at-grade intersections along SR-500 make up more than a third of the sixteen intersections and also have six of the seven highest rankings. Mill Plain Boulevard has four of the top sixteen intersections. SR-503 in the Orchards area also has two intersections in the top sixteen.

Table 2 - Highest Volume Intersections

<u> </u>	ne z - nignest	Volume intersections						
Rank	East/West	North/South	Volume					
1	SR-500	Gher/112 th	87,000					
2	SR-500	Thurston Way	85,000					
3	Mill Plain	Chkalov Dr	80,000					
4	SR-500	SR-503	70,000					
5	SR-500	St. Johns Rd.	66,000					
6	SR-500	54 th Ave.	58,000					
7	SR-500	42 nd Ave.	56,000					
8	Fourth Plain	Andresen Rd.	55,000					
9	76 th Street	SR-503	53,000					
10	Mill Plain	123 rd /124 th Ave.	52,000					
11	78 th St.	Hwy 99	49,000					
12	Mill Plain	136 th Ave.	49,000					
13	SE 34 ^{th St.}	SE 164 th Ave.	47,000					
14	Mill Plain	Andresen Rd.	44,000					
15	Padden Pkwy	SR-503	43,000					
16	SR-500	NE 121 st Av.	43,000					

3. COLUMBIA RIVER BRIDGE VEHICLE VOLUMES

A good indicator of change to bi-state travel is the amount of vehicle travel across the Columbia River bridges. Table 3 and Figure 15 show the historical growth in Columbia River bridge crossings since 1980. In 1980, the only highway across the Columbia River was the Interstate Bridge that carried 108,600

vehicles a day. By 1985, with the opening of the Glenn Jackson Bridge in 1983, Interstate Bridge volumes decreased to 91,400 vehicles a day. However, the new Glenn Jackson Bridge carried 52,600 day for a combined river crossing of 144,000 vehicles a day. By 1995, total river crossings (222,700) had more doubled compared to 1980 (108,600). While traffic bridges on both continued to grow since 1990, the Interstate Bridge is at capacity about six hours a day. As a result, in 1999 the Glenn Jackson Bridge traffic volumes exceeded the Interstate Bridge traffic volumes on a daily basis. By 2000, total river crossings had reached 259,000. Future growth is expected to continue at a higher rate on the Glenn Jackson Bridge.

Table 3 - Average Weekday Traffic Across the Columbia River

Yea	I-5	I-205	Total
r			
1980	108,600	n/a	108,600
1985	91,400	52,600	144,000
1990	95,400	87,100	182,500
1995	116,600	106,100	222,700
2000	126,900	132,100	259,000




Figure 15 - Columbia River Crossings

4. TRANSIT SEATS AS PERCENTAGE OF LANE CAPACITY

This measure is intended as a planning analysis tool. It utilizes information from the congestion management data to calculate transit seat capacity as a percentage of vehicle capacity per lane for the congestion management corridors. It provides a picture of how much transit service is in the corridors in relation to the road capacity and presents an idea of the potential of transit to mitigate or manage auto demand the congestion on management corridors. The AM and PM maps (Figures 16 and 17) are almost identical because of the similarities of the morning and evening peak transit service. SE 164th Avenue and I-5 south have the highest percentage of transit seats due to the high level of vehicles accessing the Fisher's Landing Transit Center and commuter service crossing the Interstate Bridge in the I-5 corridor. In contrast, SR-14 between I-5 and I-205 has only one bus during the two hour peak period.

5. TRANSIT SYSTEM RIDERSHIP

Figure 18 and Table 4 provide information on 2000 annual C-TRAN patronage by Almost all (96%) of type of service. C-TRAN system ridership was made up of fixed route service. Urban fixed route service carries more than three-quarters of C-TRAN's total annual ridership. This is followed by commuter service that carries approximately 18% of the total riders. Table 5 compares growth in Clark population with changes County C-TRAN system ridership during the same period. The average annual growth rate in Clark County population since 1985 has ranged from 3% to 4.5% per year depending on the time period. At the same time, C-TRAN ridership growth rate

has been higher than the population growth rate until year 2000. The passage of Initiative 695 had a serious impact on transit service in Clark County in 2000. The impact to operating revenue resulted in more than a 25% reduction in service levels from 1999.

Figure 18 - Ridership by Type of Service




Table 4 - 2000 Ridership by Type of Service

Type of Service	Annual Riders	Percent of Total
Rural	101,682	1.9%
Urban	4,131,448	76.0%
Commuter	974,522	17.9%
C-VAN	162,131	3.0%
Vanpool	67,301	1.2%
Total	5,437,084	100.0%




Figure 16 - AM Transit Seats: Percent of Lane Capacity

Transit Seats: Percent of Lane Capacity 2000 PM Peak Two Hours Percentage, PM >= 25% 15% - 25% 5% - 15% < 5% No Data LaCenter Ground Transportation System Monitoring and Congestion Management Report Produced by the Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council, March 2000

Figure 17 - PM Transit Seats: Percent of Lane Capacity

Table 5 - Historical Population and Patronage Growth

1 3.01 3.11 3.1									
Year	Population	Annual	System	Annual					
		Growth	Passenger	Growth					
		Rate	Trips	Rate					
1985	206,744		1,765,423						
1990	238,053	3.0%	2,840,724	12.2%					
1995	291,000	4.4%	4,327,291	10.5%					
2000	345,000	3.7%	5,437,084	5.1%					

6. PARK AND RIDE CAPACITY

In 2000, the opening of the Fisher's Landing park and ride lot added 560 spaces to the total park and ride spaces available through the County. However, as previously noted the reductions in service levels constrained total ridership,

with standing passenger occurring on all commuter service. Clark County park and ride capacity is shown in Table 6.

Table 6 - Clark County Park and Ride Capacity

Oupuo	· · ·
Facility	Lot Capacity
Battle Ground	50
Evergreen	390
Salmon Creek	438
BPA Ross	182
Ridgefield	42
Fisher's Landing	560
Total	1,662

CHAPTER III. INDIVIDUAL CMS CORRIDOR DATA

This chapter contains detailed transportation data for each of the congestion management corridors. The detailed data was used to develop the congestion management corridor summaries in the previous chapter and provides a comprehensive set transportation data for the individual segments and facilities that comprise the corridors. Information by corridor contains an individual data sheet and a schematic map of the corridor. The following corridors make up this section:

I-5

I-205

Grand/St. Johns

Andresen Road/72nd Avenue

SR-503

Ward Road

162nd/164th Avenue

SR-14

Mill Plain Boulevard

Fourth Plain Boulevard

SR-500

78th/76th/Padden Parkway

28th/18th

134th/139th Streets

SR-502/219th Street

SR-501


La Center Road

	_		County Line	:
	_		I-205 	
	_		134th]
í			117th	_
ene	u	C-I	99th Street	
Hazel Dell Avenue			78th Street	Hwy 99
Haz			63rd Street	
Main Street			Mill Plain	
2 (SR14	

Corridor			gment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
		Length	Capacity						AM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
I-5														
County Line	- 319th St.	3.81	5040	1752	0.35			3:13	71					
319th St.	- SR 501	2.61	5220	2442	0.47			2:10	72					
SR 501	- SR 502/179th	4.71	5280	2557	0.48		1.12	3:56	72					
SR 502/179th	- I-205	1.75	5280	3577	0.68			1:32	68	135*, 173*		80		
				3577	0.49		1.12	10:51	71	135*, 173*		80		2.3%
I-5														
I-205	- 134th St.	0.70	3520	2374	0.67			2:39	53					
134th St.	- 99th St.	1.62	3520	2497	0.71		1.08	"	"					
99th St.	78th St.	0.94	3520	3725	1.06			4:18	35					
78th St.	- Main St.	1.55	3200	3824	1.20	6.0%		"	"	134	196	280	70%	
				3824	0.98	6.0%	1.08	6:57	42	134	196	280	70%	8.8%
Hwy 99														
134th St.	- 119th St.	0.72	1700	438	0.26	5.0%		1:59	22					
119th St.	- 99th St.	1.12	1700	162	0.10			2:00	34					
99th St.	- 78th St.	1.04	1700	441	0.26	6.0%		2:38	24					
78th St.	- 63rd St.	0.75	1700	433	0.25	6.0%	1.14	1:57	23	71	61	150	41%	
63rd St.	- Main St.	0.54	1700	803	0.47			n/a						
				803	0.29	5.7%	1.14	8:34	29	71	61	150	41%	8.8%
Hazel Dell														
117th St.	- 99th St.	1.47	800	420	0.53			3:20	26					
99th St.	- 78th St.	0.99	1700	399	0.23			2:35	23					
78th St.	- 63rd St.	0.74	800	280	0.35			1:39	27	6	18	120	15%	
63rd St.	- Main St.	0.93	800	109	0.14			n/a						
				420	0.35		1.11	7:34	25	6	18	120	15%	7.5%
I-5														
Main St.	- SR 500	0.74	5400	3909	0.72	6.0%		1:59	22	134,156,190,191	268	400	67%	
SR 500	- 4th Plain	0.70	5400	6012	1.11	6.0%		4:21	24	, , ,				
4th Plain	- Mill Plain	0.56	5400	6012	1.11	6.0%	1.13	"	"					
Mill Plain	- SR 14	0.45	5400	5345	0.99	6.0%	1.11	"	"					
SR 14	- State line	1.40	5400	5688	1.05	7.0%		n/a		105,134,156,190,191	566	800	71%	
-				6012	1.02	6.2%	1.12	6:20	23	105,134,156,190,191	566	800	71%	22.2%
Main St.				0012	1.02	U.2 /0	12	0.20	20	100, 104, 100, 100, 101	000	000	11/0	ZZ.Z /0
I-5	- 39th St.	0.67	1600	224	0.14			2:20	35					
39th St.	- Fourth Plain	0.70	1200	619	0.14			2.20	"	6, 71	98	270	36%	
3001 00.	. Out till i tull	0.70	1200	619	0.42		1.11	2:20	35	6, 71	98	270	36%	11.3%
*Partial Transit Data			<u> </u>	פוס	0.42		1.11	2.20	งอ	0, / 1	30	210	30%	11.3%

*Partial Transit Data

	I-205	Corridor		Seg	gment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/La Capacit
				Length	Capacity						PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
		I-5														
	134th	County Line	- 319th St.	3.81	5040	2364	0.47	16.0%		3:22	68					
		319th St.	- SR 501	2.61	5220	2783	0.53	13.0%		2:09	73					
		SR 501	- SR 502/179th	4.71	5280	2878	0.55	13.0%		4:00	71					
		SR 502/179th	- I-205	1.75	5280	3756	0.71	13.0%	1.23	1:59	74	135*, 173*	121	120	101%	
	117th					3756	0.55	13.8%	1.23	11:30	71	135*, 173*	121	120	101%	2.3%
		I-5														
		I-205	- 134th St.	0.70	3520	2735	0.78	9.0%		"	"					
<u></u> 5		134th St.	- 99th St.	1.62	3520	3039	0.86	9.0%	1.17	1:45	56					
	99th Street	99th St.	78th St.	0.94	3520	3735	1.06	9.0%		4:31	43				0.404	
		78th St.	- Main St.	1.55	3200	4070	1.27	6.0%		"		134	260	320	81%	
	•	, m				4070	1.05	8.3%	1.17	6:16	46	134	260	320	81%	10.0%
		Hwy 99	4400 00	0.70	4700	700	0.44	0.00/		0.40	00					
		134th St.	- 119th St.	0.72	1700 1700	700	0.41	2.0%	-	2:10	20 38					
	78th Street	119th St. 99th St.	- 99th St. - 78th St.	1.12 1.04	1700	820 982	0.48	2.0% 3.0%		1:47 2:22	38 26					
		78th St.	- 78th St.	0.75	1700	1204	0.58	2.0%	1.26	1:57	23	71	89	270	33%	
		63rd St.	- 63/0 St.	0.75	1700	1204	0.71	2.0%	1.20	n/a	23	71	89	270	33%	
		0314 31.	- Iviaiii Ot.	0.54	1700	1208	0.71	2.2%	1.26	8:16	30	71	89	270	33%	15.9%
	63rd Street	Hazel Dell				1200	0.55	2.2 /0	1.20	0.10	30	71	09	210	33 /6	15.5/
		117th St.	- 99th St.	1.47	800	585	0.73	3.0%		3:08	28					
		99th St.	- 78th St.	0.99	1700	530	0.73	2.0%		3:25	17					
		78th St.	- 63rd St.	0.74	800	719	0.90	1.0%		2:08	21	6	47	120	39%	
		63rd St.	- Main St.	0.93	800	212	0.27	1.0%		n/a				.20	0070	
						719	0.63	1.8%	1.24	8:41	22	6	47	120	39%	7.5%
		I-5						11070						0	33.0	11070
		Main St.	- SR 500	0.74	5400	4541	0.84	5.0%		"	"	134,156,190,191	389	520	75%	
		SR 500	- 4th Plain	0.70	5400	6095	1.13	4.0%		2:27	42	. , , , .				
		4th Plain	- Mill Plain	0.56	5400	5816	1.08	4.0%	1.14	"	"					
		Mill Plain	- SR 14	0.45	5400	5019	0.93	6.0%	1.18	"	"					
	Maria Division	SR 14	- State line	1.40	5400	5221	0.97	5.0%		n/a		105,134,156,190,191	733	960	76%	
	Mill Plain				İ	6095	0.99	4.8%	1.16	2:27	42	105,134,156,190,191	733	960	76%	26.7%
		Main St.					0.00								10,0	
		I-5	- 39th St.	0.67	1600	584	0.37	2.0%		2:20	35					
		39th St.	- Fourth Plain	0.70	1200	689	0.57	2.0%		"	"	6, 71	141	390	36%	
						689	0.48	2.0%	1.24	2:20	35	6, 71	141	390	36%	16.3%



Pt. Vancouver	SR	44tl	Min	78t	が 88t	St. Johns	2377
Grand Blvd	-500	h St.	<u>neh</u> aha	h St.	h St.	SALO.	Are.

	_		Traffic		Truck		Travel Time		Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor	_	ment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
	Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound	_			
St. Johns Rd.													
NE 72nd Ave 50th Ave.	1.44	800	393	0.49	3.0%		3:03	35					
50th Ave NE 88th St.	0.36	1700	859	0.51			"	"					
NE 88th St NE 78th St.	0.50	1700	975	0.57	6.0%		1:23	22					
NE 78th St NE Minnehaha S	1.07	1800	796	0.44	8.0%		1:56	33					
St. Johns Rd./St. James Rd.													
NE Minnehaha S - NE 44th St.	0.95	1800	652	0.36			2:02	28	25	16	120	13%	
NE 44th St SR 500	0.55	1800	1141	0.63	4.0%		1:48	18					
Fort Vancouver Way													
St. Johns Blvd Fourth Plain Blvd	0.23	1200	118	0.10			n/a						
Grand Blvd.													
St. Johns Blvd Fourth Plain Blvd	0.58	1700	253	0.15			n/a						
St. Johns Blvd.													
SR 500 - Ft. Vancouver W	0.45	900	484	0.54			2:31	20					
Ft. Vancouver W⊹- Fourth Plain Blvd	0.39	900	451	0.50			"	"					
			1141	0.48	5.3%	1.11	12:43	31	25	16	120	13%	6.7%

			T		Toursele		T Ti	0	Townsid Lives on OMO	T	Transit	Transit	Transit
Corridor	Sec	ment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Seat Capacity	Capacity Used	Seats/Lane Capacity
	Length	Capacity						PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
St. Johns Rd.													
NE 72nd Ave 50th Ave.	1.44	800	550	0.69	3.0%		3:13	34					
50th Ave NE 88th St.	0.36	1700	863	0.51	3.0%		"	"					
NE 88th St NE 78th St.	0.50	1700	963	0.57	5.0%		1:18	23					
NE 78th St NE Minnehaha S	1.07	1800	852	0.47	5.0%		2:22	27					
St. Johns Rd./St. James Rd.													
NE Minnehaha S - NE 44th St.	0.95	1800	901	0.50	2.0%		2:30	23	25	34	120	28%	
NE 44th St SR 500	0.55	1800	1043	0.58	2.4%		0:53	37					
Fort Vancouver Way													
St. Johns Blvd Fourth Plain Blvd	0.23	1200	176	0.15	1.9%		n/a						
Grand Blvd.													
St. Johns Blvd Fourth Plain Blvd	0.58	1700	481	0.28	1.3%		n/a						
St. Johns Blvd.													
SR 500 - Ft. Vancouver W	0.45	900	417	0.46	1.2%		3:22	15					
Ft. Vancouver W:- Fourth Plain Blvd	0.39	900	426	0.47	1.2%		"	"					
			1043	0.53	2.6%	1.24	13:38	29	25	34	120	28%	6.7%

	119th
St. Johns	
	I-205
	83rd
	78th
esen Rd/72nd Ave	63rd
esen Rc	Vancouver Mall Dr

			Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor	Seg	gment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
	Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
Andresen Rd. / N.E. 72nd Ave.													
119th St St. Johns Rd.	0.26	1600	968	0.61			2:53	38					
St. Johns Rd I-205	1.21	800	431	0.54			"	"					
I-205 overcrossin - 83rd St.	0.37	1800	1000	0.56			"	"					
83rd St 78th St.	0.23	1800	785	0.44			1:16	11					
78th St 63rd St.	0.77	1800	564	0.31			1:16	36					
63rd St Vancouver Mall	0.71	1800	870	0.48			1:16	34	7,78	15	150	10%	
Vancouver Mall E - SR 500	0.65	1800	1049	0.58			1:27	27	32		120		
			1049	0.51		1.11	8:08	31	7,78	15	150	10%	8.3%
Andresen Rd.													
SR 500 - Fourth Plain Blv	0.26	1800	1123	0.62			1:01	15					
Fourth Plain Blvd - 18th St.	0.55	1800	807	0.45			1:08	29	32	43	120	36%	
18th St Mill Plain Blvd.	0.70	1800	654	0.36			1:17	33					
			1123	0.46		1.11	3:26	26	32	43	120	36%	6.7%

SR-500

Fourth Plain Blvd.

18th

Corridor	Seg	yment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
	Length	Capacity						PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
Andresen Rd. / N.E. 72nd Ave.													
119th St St. Johns Rd.	0.26	1600	1143	0.71	4.0%		3:09	35					
St. Johns Rd I-205	1.21	800	693	0.87	2.7%		"	"					
I-205 overcrossin - 83rd St.	0.37	1800	1305	0.73	2.9%		"	"					
83rd St 78th St.	0.23	1800	1019	0.57	4.5%		2:39	5					
78th St 63rd St.	0.77	1800	912	0.51	3.7%		1:38	28					
63rd St Vancouver Mall [0.71	1800	1140	0.63	3.0%		1:22	31	7,78	33	150	22%	
Vancouver Mall E - SR 500	0.65	1800	1198	0.67	1.6%		1:45	22					
			1305	0.68	3.2%	1.24	10:33	24	7,78	33	150	22%	8.3%
Andresen Rd.													
SR 500 - Fourth Plain Blvd	0.26	1800	1577	0.88	2.4%		0:49	19					
Fourth Plain Blvd - 18th St.	0.55	1800	1056	0.59	2.7%		1:30	22	32	54	120	45%	
18th St Mill Plain Blvd.	0.70	1800	1240	0.69	3.1%		1:22	31					
1			1577	0.70	2.7%	1.24	3:41	25	32	54	120	45%	6.7%

Mill Plain Blvd.

SR-502/219th

199th

144th

144ti

SR-503

											- :	Transit	Transit	Transit
_				Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Seat	Capacity	Seats/Lane
Corridor		Seg	ment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
		Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
SR 503														
119th St.	- 99th St.	1.01	1800	1236	0.69	5.0%		1:37	37					
99th St.	 Padden Pkwy. 	0.76	1800	1621	0.90			1:24	33	7	13	90	14%	
Padden Pkwy.	- 76th St.	0.32	1800	1294	0.72	7.0%		1:00	19					
76th St.	 Fourth Plain/SR \$ 	0.74	1800	1176	0.65		1.09	1:26	31					
				1621	0.75	6.0%	1.09	5:27	31	7	13	90	14%	5.0%
SR 503														
SR-502	- 199th St.	1.00	1800	818	0.45	5.0%		1:10	51	7	22	90	24%	
199th St.	- 144th St.	2.82	1800	1247	0.69		1.11	3:05	55	7	22	90	24%	
144th St.	 119th St. 	1.27	1800	1218	0.68	3.0%		2:31	30	7	23	90	26%	
				1247	0.66	4.0%	1.11	6:46	45	7	23	90	26%	5.0%

119th


99th

Padden Parkway

Corridor		Saa		Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit Riders	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
Corridor			ment	Volume	CCI	reiceilt	AVO	(willutes)	_ '	outhbound/Westbound	Niueis	Capacity	Useu	Capacity
		Length	Capacity						PIVI - 50	outnbound/westbound				
SR 503														
119th St.	- 99th St.	1.01	1800	1258	0.70	5.0%		1:37	37					
99th St.	- Padden Pkwy.	0.76	1800	1850	1.03	3.0%		1:51	25	7	20	90	22%	
Padden Pkwy.	- 76th St.	0.32	1800	1762	0.98	2.0%		0:55	21					
76th St.	- Fourth Plain/SR !	0.74	1800	1707	0.95	2.6%	1.26	1:18	34					
				1850	0.91	3.2%	1.26	5:41	30	7	20	90	22%	5.0%
SR 503														
SR-502	- 199th St.	1.00	1800	901	0.50	3.0%		2:23	25					
199th St.	- 144th St.	2.82	1800	1066	0.59	4.0%	1.23	3:10	53					
144th St.	- 119th St.	1.27	1800	1257	0.70	3.9%		1:56	39	7	23	90	26%	
				1257	0.61	3.6%	1.23	7:29	41	7	23	90	26%	5.0%

Fourth Plain

76th



Corridor		Seg	jment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
		Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
Ward Road														
119th St.	 162nd Ave. 	2.12	800	973	1.22			2:00	64	n/a				
162nd Ave.	- 76th St.	0.81	800	973	1.22			1:20	36					
76th St.	- SR 500	0.37	800	810	1.01			0:38	35					
				973	1.20		1.11	3:58	50					

												Transit	Transit	Transit
				Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Seat	Capacity	Seats/Lane
Corridor		Seg	gment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
		Length	Capacity						PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
Ward Road														
119th St.	 162nd Ave. 	2.12	800	606	0.76	3.0%		2:00	64	n/a				
162nd Ave.	- 76th St.	0.81	800	688	0.86	2.8%		1:49	27					
76th St.	- SR 500	0.37	800	717	0.90	2.9%		0:52	26					
				717	0.80	2.9%	1.24	4:41	42					

	SR-500
	39th
_28th	
	18th

				Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor		Seg	ment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
		Length	Capacity				•		AM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
162nd/164th Ave.														
Ward Rd.	- SR 500	0.90	800	377	0.47			2:41	20					
SR 500	- 39th St.	1.50	800	788	0.99			2:09	42					
39th St.	- 28th St.	0.51	1800	875	0.49			0:57	32					
28th St.	- 18th St.	0.50	1800	748	0.42			1:01	30	30	61	120	51%	
18th St.	- 1st St.	1.02	1800	814	0.45			1:53	32					
1st St.	- Mill Plain	0.40	1800	876	0.49	7.0%		1:12	20					
				876	0.90	7.0%	1.11	9:53	29	30	61	120	51%	6.7%
162nd/164th Ave.														
Mill Plain	- 15th St.	0.38	1700	933	0.55	9.0%		0:44	31					
15th St.	 McGillvray 	0.40	1700	943	0.55		1.11	0:54	27					
McGillvray	- 34th St.	0.53	1700	1585	0.93			1:16	25	30,37,80	28	450	6%	
34th St.	- SR 14	0.35	1800	2014	1.12			0:43	29					
				2014	0.87	9.0%	1.11	3:37	28	30,37,80	28	450	6%	26.5%

1st St

Mill Plain

162nd/164th Ave. SE 15th


McGillivray

SE 34th


Corridor		Sec	ıment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
		Length	Capacity				7	(. ,	outhbound/Westbound	1110010	- upacity	0000	Cupacity
162nd/164th Ave.														
Ward Rd.	- SR 500	0.90	800	268	0.34			1:29	36					
SR 500	- 39th St.	1.50	800	767	0.96	1.8%		2:42	33					
39th St.	- 28th St.	0.51	1800	852	0.47			0:53	35					
28th St.	- 18th St.	0.50	1800	820	0.46			0:43	42	30	16	120	13%	
18th St.	- 1st St.	1.02	1800	891	0.50			1:32	40					
1st St.	- Mill Plain	0.40	1800	1152	0.64	2.0%		1:28	16					
				1152	0.70	1.9%	1.24	8:47	33	30	16	120	13%	6.7%
162nd/164th Ave.														
Mill Plain	- 15th St.	0.38	1700	1272	0.75	2.8%		1:08	20					
15th St.	 McGillvray 	0.40	1700	1236	0.73		1.20	1:20	18					
McGillvray	- 34th St.	0.53	1700	1556	0.92	2.1%		1:19	24	30,37,80	27	450	6%	
34th St.	- SR 14	0.35	1800	1978	1.10	1.2%		1:22	15					
				1978	0.91	2.0%	1.20	5:09	19	30,37,80	27	450	6%	26.5%

SR-14

Corridor	Seg	gment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
	Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
SR 14													
I-5 - Grand Blvd.	1.45	3000	2614	0.87	4.0%	1.07	6:02	60					
Grand Blvd Blandford Dr.	0.96	3400	2842	0.84			"	"					
Blandford Dr Evergreen Blvd.	0.88	3400	2842	0.84		1.06	"	"					
Evergreen Blvd Lieser Rd.	1.04	3400	2886	0.85			"	"	114	28	40	70%	
Lieser Rd Ellsworth Rd.	1.23	3400	2979	0.88			"	"					
Ellsworth Rd I-205	0.51	3400	2428	0.71	3.0%		"	"					
			2979	0.85	3.5%	1.07	6:02	60	114	28	40	70%	1.2%
SR 14													
I-205 - 164th Ave.	2.45	3400	3718	1.09	7.0%	1.10	2:17	64	114	28	40	70%	
			3718	1.09	7.0%	1.10	2:17	64	114	28	40	70%	1.2%
SR 14													
164th Ave 6th Ave. NW	3.78	3400	2272	0.67			3:32	64	92,114	60	160	38%	
6th Ave. NW - SR 500	2.04	1100	1121	1.02			7:41	51					
SR 500 - SR 140	1.76	1000	964	0.96	4.0%		"	"					
SR 140 - Evergreen Hwy.	2.76	1000	283	0.28			"	"					
Evergreen Hwy County Line	2.64	1000	121	0.12			n/a						
			2272	0.73	4.0%	1.11	11:13	55	92,114	60	160	38%	4.7%



Corridor	Seg	gment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
	Length	Capacity						PM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
SR 14													
I-5 - Grand Blvd.	1.45	3000	2701	0.90	4.0%	1.14	6:08	59					
Grand Blvd Blandford Dr.	0.96	3400	2821	0.83	4.0%		"	"					
Blandford Dr Evergreen Blvd.	0.88	3400	2897	0.85	4.0%	1.14	"	"					
Evergreen Blvd Lieser Rd.	1.04	3400	2855	0.84	4.0%		"	"	114	38	40	95%	
Lieser Rd Ellsworth Rd.	1.23	3400	2921	0.86	4.0%		"	"					
Ellsworth Rd I-205	0.51	3400	2341	0.69	3.0%		"	"					
			2921	0.85	3.8%	1.14	6:08	59	114	38	40	95%	1.2%
SR 14													
I-205 - 164th Ave.	2.45	3400	3260	0.96	5.0%	1.12	2:11	67	114	38	40	95%	
			3260	0.96	5.0%	1.12	2:11	67	114	38	40	95%	1.2%
SR 14													
164th Ave 6th Ave. NW	3.78	3400	1613	0.47	5.0%		3:40	62	92,114	55	160	34%	
6th Ave. NW - SR 500	2.04	1100	1014	0.92	8.0%		7:50	50					
SR 500 - SR 140	1.76	1000	1150	1.15	5.0%		"	"					
SR 140 - Evergreen Hwy.	2.76	1000	319	0.32	7.0%		"	"					
Evergreen Hwy County Line	2.64	1000	256	0.26	8.0%		n/a						
			1613	0.65	6.6%	1.15	11:30	54	92,114	55	160	34%	4.7%




Corridor	Sec	ament	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
	Length	Capacity					, ,	AM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
Mill Plain													
I-5 - Ft. Vancouver	0.17	1800	758	0.42	2.2%		0:24	26					
Ft. Vancouver - Reserve St.	0.46	1800	623	0.35	2.5%		0:59	28					
Reserve St Grand Blvd.	0.57	1800	658	0.37	1.3%		0:56	37	37	30	210	14%	
Grand Blvd Brandt Rd.	0.58	1800	599	0.33	5.6%		0:54	39					
Brandt Rd MacArthur Blvd.	0.51	1800	662	0.37	3.4%		0:51	36	37,39	55	270	20%	
MacArthur Blvd Devine Rd.	0.24	1800	655	0.36	4.3%		0:37	23					
Devine Rd Andresen Rd.	0.60	1800	687	0.38	2.8%	1.15	0:52	42					
Andresen Rd 87th/Leiser Rd.	0.82	1800	637	0.35	3.3%		1:46	28					
87th/Leiser Rd 97/98th Ave.	0.64	1800	1096	0.61	2.6%		1:06	35					
97/98th Ave 104/105th Ave.	0.40	1800	986	0.55	2.6%		0:43	33					
104/105th Ave I-205	0.26	1800	1015	0.56	2.6%		0:30	31					
			1096	0.44	3.0%	1.15	9:38	33	37,39	55	270	20%	15.0%
Mill Plain													
I-205 - Chkalov Drive	0.21	2400	2348	0.98			0:42	18					
Chkalov Drive 136th Ave.	1.09	2400	1372	0.57		1.13	3:27	19	37	33	210	16%	
136th Ave 164th Ave.	1.39	1800	1232	0.68			3:06	27					
			2348	0.68		1.13	7:15	22	37	33	210	16%	8.8%

1-5	Fort Vancouver	Reserve St	Grand Blvd.	Brandt	MacArthur	Devine	Andresen Rd	87th/Andresen	97th/98th	104th/105th	I-205	Chkalov	136th 164th	
													Mill Plain Blvd.	


Corridor	Sec	gment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
	Length	Capacity					· · · · · · · · ·	PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
Mill Plain													
I-5 - Ft. Vancouver	0.17	1800	837	0.47	1.3%		0:12	51					
Ft. Vancouver - Reserve St.	0.46	1800	831	0.46	0.9%		0:44	38					
Reserve St Grand Blvd.	0.57	1800	772	0.43	1.2%		1:16	27	37	46	210	22%	
Grand Blvd Brandt Rd.	0.58	1800	713	0.40	1.5%		1:07	31					
Brandt Rd MacArthur Blvd.	0.51	1800	880	0.49	1.6%		1:01	30	37,39	46	270	17%	
MacArthur Blvd Devine Rd.	0.24	1800	1162	0.65	1.4%		0:53	16					
Devine Rd Andresen Rd.	0.60	1800	1230	0.68	1.3%	1.28	1:32	23					
Andresen Rd 87th/Leiser Rd.	0.82	1800	1025	0.57	1.0%		1:39	30					
87th/Leiser Rd 97/98th Ave.	0.64	1800	1279	0.71	1.1%		1:21	28					
97/98th Ave 104/105th Ave.	0.40	1800	1215	0.68	1.2%		1:11	20					
104/105th Ave I-205	0.26	1800	1199	0.67	0.7%		1:52	8					
			1279	0.58	1.2%	1.28	12:48	25	37	46	210	22%	11.7%
Mill Plain													
I-205 - Chkalov Drive	0.21	3000	3148	1.05	1.5%		1:59	6					
Chkalov Drive 136th Ave.	1.09	2400	2003	0.83	2.0%	1.25	3:44	18	37	36	210	17%	
136th Ave 164th Ave.	1.39	1800	1651	0.92	2.0%		3:40	23					
			3148	0.90	1.8%	1.25	9:23	17	37	36	210	17%	13.1%

I-5	Fort Vancouv	Reserve St	Grand Blvd.	Brandt	MacArthur	Devine	Andresen Rd	87th/Andrese	97th/98th	104th/105th	1-205	Chkalov	136th	164th	
													Mill Plain Blvd.		

			Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor	Seg	gment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
	Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
Fourth Plain/SR 501													
I-5 - Broadway	0.45	1700	694	0.41	8.0%		0:49	33					
Main St Kaufman	0.52	1700	678				1:03	30					
Kaufman - Fruit Valley Rd.	0.57	1700	623	0.37			1:04	32	1	17	105	16%	
Fruit Valley Rd NW 26th St.	0.60	1700	564			1.03	0:57	38					
NW 26th St TMA Boundary	1.85	1800	511	0.28			n/a						
			694	0.34	8.0%	1.03	3:53	33	1	17	105	16%	6.2%
Mill Plain/SR 501													
I-5 - Broadway	0.27	1800	1070	0.59	3.0%		n/a	n/a	n/a				
Main St Lincoln	0.63	1800	859	0.48	4.0%								
Lincoln - Fourth Plain	0.86	1800											
			1070	0.52	3.5%	1.11							
Fourth Plain													
I-5 - St. Johns Blvd.	0.39	1600	784	0.49			1:25	17					
St. Johns Blvd Ft. Vancouver	0.34	1600	429	0.27			0:37	33					
Ft. Vancouver - Grand Blvd.	0.29	1600	577	0.36			0:43	24	4*	50	210	24%	
Grand Blvd Brandt Rd.	0.57	1600	540				0:55	37					
Brandt Rd Falk Rd.	0.21	1700	504	0.30			0:29	26					
Falk Rd Stapleton Rd.	0.49	1700	475				0:59	30					
Stapleton Rd Andresen Rd.	0.82	1700	704	0.41		1.14	1:39	30					
			784	0.37		1.14	6:47	28	4*	50	210	24%	13.1%
Fourth Plain													
Andresen Rd Thurston Way	1.08	2400	1967				1:10	56					
Thurston Way - I-205	0.66	2400	1922		4.0%		1:00	40	190,191	16	60	27%	
I-205 - Gher Rd.	0.58	2400	1934				0:46	45					
Gher Rd SR 503	0.75	2400	1999	0.83		1.11	2:11	21					
			1999	0.82	4.0%	1.11	5:07	36	190,191	16	60	27%	2.5%



Corridor		Soo	ıment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
Contact		Length	Capacity	Volume	001	1 ercent	AVO	(Milliates)	, ,	outhbound/Westbound	Riders	Capacity	Oseu	Capacity
Fourth Plain/SR 501														
I-5 -	Broadway	0.45	1700	855	0.50	8.0%		0:42	39					
Main St	Kaufman	0.52	1700	810	0.48	7.5%		1:22	23					
Kaufman -	Fruit Valley Rd.	0.57	1700	706	0.42	6.0%		1:09	30	1	17	105	16%	
Fruit Valley Rd	NW 26th St.	0.60	1700	540	0.32	34.0%	1.18	1:07	32					
NW 26th St	TMA Boundary	1.85	1000	473	0.47	34.0%		n/a						
				855	0.45	17.9%	1.18	4:20	30	1	17	105	16%	6.2%
Mill Plain/SR 501														
I-5 -	Broadway	0.27	1800	1292	0.72	3.0%		n/a		n/a				
Main St	Lincoln	0.63	1800	750	0.42	3.0%								
Lincoln -	Fourth Plain	0.86	1800											
				1292	0.54	3.0%	1.24							
Fourth Plain														
I-5 -	St. Johns Blvd.	0.39	1600	939	0.59	4.1%		1:25	17					
St. Johns Blvd	Ft. Vancouver	0.34	1600	753	0.47	2.2%		0:49	25					
Ft. Vancouver -	Grand Blvd.	0.29	1600	972	0.61	1.7%		0:36	29	4*	153	240	64%	
Grand Blvd	Brandt Rd.	0.57	1600	958	0.60	2.3%		0:51	40					
Brandt Rd	Falk Rd.	0.21	1700	1026	0.60	1.5%		0:40	19					
Falk Rd	Stapleton Rd.	0.49	1700	1023	0.60	1.6%		1:51	16					
Stapleton Rd	Andresen Rd.	0.82	1700	1252	0.74	1.8%	1.32	2:36	19					
				1252	0.63	2.2%	1.32	8:48	21	4*	153	240	64%	15.0%
Fourth Plain	·													
Andresen Rd	Thurston Way	1.08	2400	1919	0.80	2.6%		3:13	20					
mareten maj	I-205	0.66	2400	2109	0.88	3.0%		1:02	38	190,191	15	90	17%	
	Gher Rd.	0.58	2400	2064	0.86	1.8%		0:59	35					
Gher Rd	SR 503	0.75	2400	2133	0.89	1.7%	1.21	1:19	34					
				2133	0.85	2.3%	1.21	6:33	28	190,191	15	90	17%	3.8%



Corridor	Seg	jment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
	Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
SR 500													
I-5 - St. Johns/Grand	1.08	2400	1967	0.82			1:10	56					
St. Johns/Grand - Falk Rd.	0.66	2400	1922	0.80	4.0%		1:00	40	190,191	16	60	27%	
Falk Rd Stapleton Rd./54	0.58	2400	1934	0.81			0:46	45					
Stapleton Rd./541 - Andresen Rd.	0.75	2400	1999	0.83		1.11	2:11	21					
			1999	0.82	4.0%	1.11	5:07	36	190,191	16	60	27%	2.5%
SR 500													
Andresen Rd Thurston Way	0.77	2400	1897	0.79			0:56	50	190,191	16	60	27%	
Thurston Way - I-205	0.87	3000	2414	0.80	3.0%		2:04	44	80*		120		
I-205 - Gher Rd.	0.65	3000	3140	1.05			"	"					
Gher Rd SR 503	0.54	3000	2407	0.80			1:26	23					
			3140	0.87	3.0%	1.11	4:26	38	190,191	16	60	27%	2.5%
SR 500													
SR 503 - 137th Ave.	1.08	1800	1639	0.91			2:40	24	72	33	120	28%	
137th Ave Ward Rd.	0.50	1800	1208	0.67			1:04	28					
Ward Rd 162nd Ave.	0.75	800	648	0.81			1:11	38					
1			1639	0.84		1.11	4:55	28	72	33	120	28%	6.7%


<u>9</u>	St. Johns	Falk Rd.	Stapleton Rd/54t	Andresen Rd	SR-500	Thurston Rd.	1-205	Gher Rd	SR-503	137th	Ward Rd.	
												162nd

Corridor	Soc	·mont	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit Riders	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor	Length	ment Capacity	Volume	CCI	reiceilt	AVO	(Williates)		outhbound/Westbound	Riueis	Capacity	USeu	Capacity
SR 500	Longai	Oupucity						1 141 - 00	outinound/westbound				
I-5 - St. Johns/Grand	1.08	2400	1919	0.80	2.6%		3:13	20					
St. Johns/Grand - Falk Rd.	0.66	2400	2109	0.88	3.0%		1:02	38	190,191	15	90	17%	
Falk Rd Stapleton Rd./54	0.58	2400	2064	0.86	1.8%		0:59	35	·				
Stapleton Rd./541 - Andresen Rd.	0.75	2400	2133	0.89	1.7%	1.21	1:19	34					
			2133	0.85	2.3%	1.21	6:33	28	190,191	15	90	17%	3.8%
SR 500													
Andresen Rd Thurston Way	0.77	2400	2214	0.92	2.0%		2:16	20	190,191	15	90	17%	
Thurston Way - I-205	0.87	3000	3004	1.00	1.6%		2:15	41	80	10	120	8%	
I-205 - Gher Rd.	0.65	3000	3172	1.06	2.6%		"	=					
Gher Rd SR 503	0.54	3000	2374	0.79	2.7%		1:07	29					
			3172	0.96	2.2%	1.24	5:38	30	190,191	15	90	17%	3.8%
SR 500													
SR 503 - 137th Ave.	1.08	1800	1909	1.06	2.0%		2:18	28	72	36	120	30%	
137th Ave Ward Rd.	0.50	1800	1299	0.72	3.0%		0:56	32	_				
Ward Rd 162nd Ave.	0.75	800	852	1.07	4.0%		1:47	25					
			1909	1.00	3.0%	1.24	5:01	28	72	36	120	30%	6.7%

1-5	St. Johns	Falk Rd.	Stapleton Rd/54t	Andresen Rd	SR-500	Thurston Rd.	1-205	Gher Rd	SR-503	137th	Ward Rd.	
												162nd


			Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor	Seg	gment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
	Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
78th St./76th St.													
I-5 - Hwy 99	0.11	1700	586	0.34	7.0%		0:12	33					
Hwy 99 - 25th Ave.	0.77	1700	505	0.30	8.0%		1:24	33	78	11	60	18%	
25th Ave St. Johns Rd.	1.00	1700	522	0.31	7.0%		1:26	42					
St. Johns Rd Andresen Rd.	1.13	800	597	0.75	6.0%		3:01	22					
Andresen Rd Covington/94th	1.30	800	416	0.52			2:46	28	7, 72*, 76*	14	90	16%	
Covington/94th - SR 503 (117th)	1.14	800	460	0.58	5.0%		2:15	30					
			597	0.51	6.6%	1.11	11:04	30	78	11	60	18%	3.5%
Padden Parkway													
Andresen Rd I-205	0.41	1800	824	0.46			1:21	18	n/a				
I-205 - 94th Av.	0.88	1000	793	0.79			1:05	49					
94th Av SR 503 (117th)	1.13	2000	721	0.36			1:35	43					
			824	0.54		1.11	4:01	36					

			Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor	Seg	ment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
	Length	Capacity				•		PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
78th St./76th St.													
I-5 - Hwy 99	0.11	1700	1094	0.64	4.0%		0:23	17					
Hwy 99 - 25th Ave.	0.77	1700	836	0.49	4.0%		1:27	32	78	27	60	45%	
25th Ave St. Johns Rd.	1.00	1700	737	0.43	3.2%		2:02	30					
St. Johns Rd Andresen Rd.	1.13	800	886	1.11	4.0%		2:42	25					
Andresen Rd Covington/94th	1.30	800	560	0.70	3.0%		2:31	31	7, 72*, 76*	34	90	38%	
Covington/94th - SR 503 (117th)	1.14	800	566	0.71	2.0%		3:43	18					
			1094	0.72	3.1%	1.24	12:48	26	78	27	60	45%	3.5%
Padden Parkway													
Andresen Rd I-205	0.41	1800	1009	0.56	4.0%		0:45	33	n/a				
I-205 - 94th Av.	0.88	1000	802	0.80	3.0%		1:18	41					
94th Av SR 503 (117th)	1.13	2000	729	0.36	3.0%		2:07	32					
			1009	0.57	3.3%	1.24	4:10	35					




Corridor	9		Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit Riders	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor		ment	volume	CCI	Percent	AVU	(winutes)		-	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
	Length	Capacity						AIVI - S	outhbound/Westbound				
28th Street													
Andresen Rd 86th Ave.	0.45	800	650	0.81			1:03	26	30	61	120	51%	
86th Ave 112th Ave.	1.35	800	569	0.71			2:28	33					
112th Ave 137th Ave.	1.32	800	814	1.02			2:47	28					
137th Ave 164th Ave.	1.19	800	515	0.64			3:09	23					
			814	0.83		1.11	9:27	27	30	61	120	51%	7.5%
18th Street													
112th Ave 137th Ave.	1.32	800	527	0.66			2:34	31	n/a				
137th Ave 164th Ave.	1.19	800	391	0.49			2:40	27					
			527	0.59		1.11	5:14	29					

			Traffic		Tourse		Turned Times	Cmaad	Transit Lines on CMS	Tueneit	Transit	Transit	Transit
					Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Seat	Capacity	Seats/Lane
Corridor	Seg	gment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
	Length	Capacity						PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
28th Street													
Andresen Rd 86th Ave.	0.45	800	866	1.08	2.9%		1:15	22	30*	16	120	13%	
86th Ave 112th Ave.	1.35	800	922	1.15	2.2%		3:25	24					
112th Ave 137th Ave.	1.32	800	787	0.98	2.1%		3:04	26					
137th Ave 164th Ave.	1.19	800	489	0.61	1.2%		2:51	25					
			922	0.99	2.1%	1.24	10:35	24	30*	16	120	13%	7.5%
18th Street													
112th Ave 137th Ave.	1.32	800	566	0.71	2.8%		2:50	28	n/a				
137th Ave 164th Ave.	1.19	800	437	0.55			2:27	29					
			566	0.64	2.8%	1.24	5:17	29					




Corridor	Seg	jment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
	Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
134th St./139th St./Salmon Cr. Ave.													
NW 36th Ave Tenney Rd.	2.02	800	524	0.66		1.11	7:20	23					
Tenney Rd Hwy 99	0.79	1800	964	0.54	7.0%		"	"	2	12	120	10%	
Hwy 99 - Salmon Cr. Ave.	0.73	800	189	0.24	5.0%		4:40	29					
Salmon Cr. Ave WSU Entrance	1.52	800	53	0.07			"	"					
			964	0.56	6.0%	1.11	12:00	25	2	12	120	10%	6.7%

			Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor	Seg	gment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
	Length	Capacity				-	-	PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
134th St./139th St./Salmon Cr. Ave.													
NW 36th Ave Tenney Rd.	2.02	800	656	0.82	3.0%	1.20	7:13	23					
Tenney Rd Hwy 99	0.79	1800	1250	0.69	2.0%		"	"	2	5	120	4%	
Hwy 99 - Salmon Cr. Ave.	0.73	800	235	0.29	2.0%		3:36	38					
Salmon Cr. Ave WSU Entrance	1.52	800	205	0.26	1.0%		"						
			1250	0.68	2.0%	1.20	10:49	28	2	5	120	4%	6.7%




				Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor		Seg	ment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
		Length	Capacity						AM - So	outhbound/Westbound				
SR 502														
179th St.	- 199th St.	1.00	800	771	0.96			2:42	44	173*	11	30	37%	
199th St.	219th St.	1.00	800	591	0.74			"	"					
10th Ave.	- 50th Ave.	2.00	800	572	0.72			7:18	45					
50th Ave.	- 92nd Ave	2.00	800	490	0.61		1.09	"	"					
92nd Ave.	- SR-503	1.50	800	550	0.69	5.0%		"	"					
				771	0.73	5.0%	1.09	10:00	45	173*	11	30	37%	1.9%

Corridor		Seg	jment	Traffic Volume	CCI	Truck Percent	AVO	Travel Time (Minutes)	Speed (MPH)	Transit Lines on CMS links	Transit Riders	Transit Seat Capacity	Transit Capacity Used	Transit Seats/Lane Capacity
		Length	Capacity						PM - Sc	outhbound/Westbound				
SR 502														
179th St.	- 199th St.	1.00	800	979	1.22	4.0%		2:37	46	173*	11	30	37%	
199th St.	219th St.	1.00	800	667	0.83	3.1%		"	"					
10th Ave.	 50th Ave. 	2.00	800	614	0.77	6.1%		8:11	40					
50th Ave.	- 92nd Ave	2.00	800	623	0.78	4.8%	1.22	"	"					
92nd Ave.	- SR-503	1.50	800	690	0.86	3.0%		"	"					•
				979	0.88	4.2%	1.22	10:48	42	173*	11	30	37%	1.9%



				Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Transit Seat	Transit Capacity	Transit Seats/Lane
Corridor		Seg	ment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
		Length	Capacity	AM - Southbound/Westbound										
SR 501														
I-5	- NW 31st Ave.	0.80	800	297	0.37			3:48	41	n/a				
NW 31st Ave.	- 9th St.	1.79	800	181	0.23			"	"					
				297	0.29		1.11	3:48	41					
La Center Rd.														
I-5	- E. Fork Lewis Rv	1.86	800	399	0.50			3:38	31	n/a				
				399	0.50		1.11	3:38	31					

												Transit	Transit	Transit
				Traffic		Truck		Travel Time	Speed	Transit Lines on CMS	Transit	Seat	Capacity	Seats/Lane
Corridor		Seg	ment	Volume	CCI	Percent	AVO	(Minutes)	(MPH)	links	Riders	Capacity	Used	Capacity
		Length	Capacity	PM - Southbound/Westbound										
SR 501														
I-5	- NW 31st Ave.	0.80	800	257	0.32	6.1%		3:31	44	n/a				
NW 31st Ave.	- 9th St.	1.79	800	142	0.18	3.5%		"						
				257	0.24	4.8%	1.24	3:31	44					
La Center Rd.														
I-5	 E. Fork Lewis Rv 	1.86	800	497	0.62			2:52	39	n/a				
				497	0.62		1.24	2:52	39					



CHAPTER IV. PERFORMANCE MONITORING AND IMPLEMENTATION

The purpose of Congestion Management System (CMS) is to develop a better tool that provides information on the performance of the transportation system and identify strategies to alleviate congestion and enhance mobility.

This report contains the baseline monitoring data for the continuing development and updating of information to track the performance of the regional transportation system.

This baseline report is the next logical phase in the development of the CMS process for monitoring the performance of the transportation system through the reporting of the corridor congestion index. The 2000 CMS included the monitoring and reporting of traffic volumes, transit transportation demand related and information. This database and the Transportation System Monitoring Congestion Management Report accomplish several objectives. It will decision-making support local the process, increase public awareness of transportation issues and tradeoffs, improve calibration efforts related to the regional travel forecasting model, and facilitate the means to develop tools for a comprehensive and innovative more analysis of the transportation system.

The subsequent phase of the congestion monitoring development is to: 1) continue the enhanced data collection process for travel time. and vehicle transit. occupancy, 2) identify additional data collection needs, 3) improve the data collection process, 4) and initiate a more seamless process to make the update and distribution of data more automated and dynamic. Another key activity is to begin the enhancement of the regional traffic count program to develop a regional transportation system database that incorporates the new activities and is accessible to users.

The CMS is intended to be a continuing systematic process that provides information on transportation system performance.

Continued coordination with local jurisdictions and local agencies is another key activity to ensure consistency of data collection, data factoring and ease of data storage/retrieval. This will also ensure the traffic count and turn movement and other data elements support local and regional transportation planning studies and Concurrency Management programs

Congestion monitoring is key component of the regional transportation planning process. The CMS for the Clark County region supports the long-term transportation goals and objectives defined in the Metropolitan Transportation It assists in identifying the most Plan. transportation effective projects The CMS also address congestion. supports local iurisdictions in implementation of their concurrency management systems and transportation impact fee program. The Congestion Management System Monitoring element is closely related to the data management and travel forecasting model elements.

Data elements will be reviewed that began in the 1999 monitoring program such as transit ridership and capacity, travel time and speed for the congestion management corridors, auto occupancy information and vehicle classification data. The continued data collection need will be identified. Existing data collection activities in the region will be identified that can provide support for the CMS,

such as corridor travel times for concurrency and will be utilized for application to the CMS. Additional data collection needs will be identified and initiated. These may include filling missing data from previous years,

developing a process for ongoing transit ridership and travel time information, adding information roadway lane density, and vehicle classification counts for the congestion management corridors.